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COMPETITION DESCRIPTION

Context
Canada is building a global reputation for research excellence and productivity. In its 2012 assessment of the state of science and technology, the Council of Canadian Academies\(^1\) reports that although Canada has less than 0.5 percent of the world’s population, Canadian researchers generate 4.1 percent of the world’s academic articles and almost five percent of the most highly cited papers. This record of achievement places Canada sixth overall in knowledge generation. Canadian researchers are also highly collaborative on the international stage, with 43 percent of all publications co-authored with researchers from other countries, well above the international average of 35 percent. Canada is increasingly recognized for the excellence of its research, the commitment and high calibre of its researchers and the quality of its research institutions and infrastructure. As a result, Canadians are often partners of choice for conducting cutting-edge research and technology development. In fact, over the past 10 years, Canada has attracted some of the world’s very best research talent.

Canada’s scientific reputation is, in part, the result of sustained investments in world-class research infrastructure, one of the key pillars on which research excellence is built. Coupled with institutional strategic research plans, these investments have substantially improved the ability of Canadian researchers to compete globally and to make important contributions to addressing complex global challenges. Many institutions and their researchers are now in a position to capitalize on this success and continue to increase their standing in the global research enterprise.

Research is also a central component of innovation and future economic growth and prosperity. Over the past few years, several reports and analyses\(^1\),\(^2\) have called for improvements in the way research knowledge is translated into benefits, whether they be social or economic — for example, through increased knowledge transfer among academic, public, private and not-for-profit sectors as well as the development of a skilled Canadian workforce.

Forging productive partnerships within and across sectors is essential to realizing the full potential of investments in research and research infrastructure. Working in partnership at the institutional, regional, national and international levels helps generate new ideas and insights and an improved understanding of the research challenges and skills needed for particular areas. As well, engaging with the users of research results has a wider value in bringing together different perspectives and developing new pathways for scientific, social and economic impact.

The success of the Canadian research community relies on its ability to renew and sustain the existing bedrock of infrastructure. Doing so will help keep Canada at the forefront of tomorrow’s technological revolutions. Continued investments in research and research infrastructure across the full spectrum, from the very fundamental to the very applied, will allow Canada to maintain its enviable position and to reap the full benefits. This is why the CFI was recently entrusted with $325 million to launch its eighth nationwide competition for advanced large-scale research infrastructure.

These elements — research excellence, sustaining and enhancing research capacity, partnerships, and global influence — are key strategic directions of the CFI Strategic Roadmap 2012-17.

---


2015 Innovation Fund

Challenge
For this competition, the CFI challenges institutions to propose transformative infrastructure projects that will underpin cutting-edge research and will have a structuring effect on Canada’s research landscape. The CFI is also challenging institutions to continue to make strategic choices and set priorities that build on their distinct advantages. Through the 2015 Innovation Fund, the CFI seeks to support promising and innovative directions in research or technology development in areas where Canada currently is, or has the potential to be, competitive on the global stage. The CFI will support initiatives that allow institutions and their researchers to build on and enhance an emerging strategic priority area, accelerate current research and technology development work or take established capabilities to a globally competitive level. In short, the initiatives should be of sufficient maturity to enable institutions to launch them rapidly.

The objectives of the 2015 Innovation Fund are to enable institutions and their best researchers to:

- Strive for global leadership by conducting world-class transformative research and technology development in areas of institutional strategic priority;
- Forge and foster productive, value-added partnerships within and among institutions, sectors and disciplines that will nurture creativity and innovation which will result in the effective and sustainable use of the research infrastructure and facilities;
- Identify and develop plans and potential pathways to social, health, environmental and economic benefits for Canada, including better training and improved skills for highly qualified personnel.

The CFI encourages institutions to submit proposals for which there is a proven record of, or a strong potential for, excellence and commitment. Institutions will, therefore, need to demonstrate how the proposed activities:

1. meet the standard of excellence for each of the three competition objectives;
2. are in line with both their priorities and their past, current and future commitments; and are in line with their track record in areas in which they are proposing to reinvest.

Institutions are encouraged to consider how their proposals can be made more competitive through collaboration with appropriate partners and to plan co-operatively to acquire, develop, operate and maintain infrastructure. Productive partnerships will enable institutions and their best researchers to fully exploit the capabilities and capacity of the research infrastructure and facilities.

Finally, in developing proposals, institutions are encouraged to engage with end-users of research or technology development knowledge to clearly define the potential benefits for Canadians. In their proposals, institutions should identify the kinds of activities they expect to undertake to increase the potential for economic and social impact from their research or technology development activities.

Critical dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early November</td>
<td>Call for Proposals Notice of Intent (NOI) forms available (PDF template)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2013</td>
<td>Online NOI forms available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2014</td>
<td>Proposal forms available (PDF template)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 28, 2014</td>
<td>Deadline for the submission of the NOI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 27, 2014</td>
<td>Deadline for the submission of proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2015</td>
<td>CFI Board decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funds Available

Competition Budget
The CFI will invest up to $250 million in infrastructure costs for funded projects in this competition. The CFI will fund up to 40 percent of a project's eligible infrastructure costs.

Operating and Maintenance Costs
The CFI will contribute to the operating and maintenance (O&M) costs of funded projects through its Infrastructure Operating Fund (IOF). The IOF allocated will be equivalent to 30 percent of the CFI contribution to the capital cost of projects funded under the Innovation Fund.

For multi-institutional projects that satisfy the requirements as described in the next section, the lead institution may request up to an additional five percent of the CFI award to cover, among others, administrative costs associated with the management and governance of those projects. A justification for these additional funds must be included in the proposal and will be subject to merit review.

For all proposals, institutions will need to demonstrate that sufficient O&M resources are, and will continue to be, available in order to capitalize on the full potential of the requested infrastructure. This sustainability requirement is an integral part of the review process and may influence the recommendations of the Multidisciplinary Assessment Committees.

Institutional Envelopes and Exceptions
The CFI will place an upper limit on the total value of the funding that eligible institutions may request from the CFI. Institutions will thus need to comply with their institutional envelopes apart from the exceptions noted below.

The envelopes are calculated in the following manner:

- The overall envelope allocation will be roughly three times the available budget of $250 million.
- Each institution’s envelope is calculated based on its average share of research funding received from the three federal research funding agencies over the period 2009-10 through 2011-12.

CFI-eligible institutions will have a minimum envelope of $1 million. Please note that institutional envelopes include affiliated research hospitals (see Appendix 1 for the list of funding envelopes by institution).

By the notice of intent (NOI) deadline, institutions must ensure that the total value of the projects they intend to submit is no higher than 10 percent above its total envelope. At the time of submission of the proposals, the CFI will ensure that the total value of CFI funding requested by each institution is within its envelope.

Two or more institutions may enter into agreements to share project costs among institutional envelopes. By the NOI deadline and again by the proposal submission deadline, the lead institution must communicate to the CFI the dollar value of its share of the proposal as well as the names of the other participating institutions and the value of each institution's share. A template cover letter will be provided by the CFI in the fall of 2013.

Exceptions to the Institutional Envelope
1. Single proposal: Should an institution choose to submit a single proposal to the competition, it will not be required to respect its institutional envelope.
2. Multi-institutional project: In response to our 2013 CFI Consultation, each eligible institution\(^3\) will also be allowed to participate in one multi-institutional project outside of its funding envelope (as per Appendix 1), provided the multi-institutional project meets the following requirements:

- Creates or enhances a research facility serving a community of users from at least three eligible partner institutions. The partner institutions must be from different institutional envelopes (as per the calculation in Appendix 1);
- Is aligned with each partner institution’s strategic research plan priorities. The facility can be centralized or distributed. Given that these types of projects are more challenging to implement and manage, the CFI expects a detailed governance and management plan commensurate with the level of complexity of the project;
- Builds on tangible and demonstrated past collaborative activities among researchers (e.g. research networks, functional research groups, shared funding, substantial co-publication records) from the participating institutions in the area of the proposal.

The lead institution is required to describe in the NOI how the project meets the eligibility criteria. Other institutions involved in the collaboration will confirm their participation in their cover letter to the CFI.

The CFI will inform the lead applicant institution by the end of April 2014 whether a project meets the multi-institutional requirements. If a project is deemed not to be a multi-institutional project, it may still be submitted but must be counted within the institutional envelope at the full proposal stage.

Multi-institutional projects submitted outside the institutional envelope will be subject to the regular review process.

Institutions should contact their Senior Programs Officer well in advance of the NOI submission should they have any question pertaining to the exceptions under the multi-institutional projects.

**ELIGIBILITY**

**Institution eligibility**

Canadian universities, colleges, research hospitals and non-profit research institutions that have been recognized as eligible by the CFI can apply. These institutions must have submitted the following to the CFI:

- A signed *Institutional agreement* (required before submitting a Notice of Intent);
- A Strategic research plan summary of a maximum of five pages (required before submitting a proposal). The authorized signatory or the CFI liaison from an institution can submit a new Strategic research plan summary (PDF format) by email.

**Eligible infrastructure**

An eligible infrastructure project involves the acquisition or development of research infrastructure to increase research capacity, allowing the pursuit of world-class research. Construction costs to build new space or to renovate existing space, (including fitting out existing space), which is essential to house and

\(^3\) Including affiliated research hospitals
use the infrastructure effectively, are eligible. To be eligible for funding, in-kind contributions from external partners and cash expenditures by the institution must have taken place on or after November 1, 2012. Expenditures are considered incurred when goods are received, services have been rendered or work has been performed.

For this competition, the CFI will only consider proposals whose total project costs are greater than $500,000.

**High-performance computing**

Given that the CFI has set aside funding for cyberinfrastructure initiatives, proposals that focus predominantly on high-performance computing (HPC) will not be accepted in this competition.

For proposals requesting some HPC infrastructure, the CFI expects that infrastructure to be housed and managed by Compute Canada. Therefore, the CFI will not consider a proposal requesting HPC infrastructure if it has not been developed in collaboration with Compute Canada prior to the proposal deadline.

For the purposes of these guidelines, HPC infrastructure encompasses any computing system with capabilities larger or more powerful than typically available in a standard desktop system. Such HPC infrastructure normally includes systems or resources such as:

- Capacity or throughput computing
- Capability computing supporting tightly coupled, fine-grained applications
- Shared memory systems
- Systems supporting very large memory requirements
- High-performance storage
- Long-term storage
- Cloud computing
- Computing using specialized accelerators, including GP-CPU and others
- High-performance visualization systems
- Systems suitable for computational steering and interactive use

Typically, such systems cost more than $100,000. In each case, the term HPC encompasses the software and environment needed for a given discipline to effectively utilize these types of infrastructure and encompasses needs such as high levels of data security and integrity as may be required by specific disciplines or researchers.

Proposals that anticipate the generation of significant data, typically supported by HPC infrastructure, must include a data management plan.

For more information on CFI eligibility rules, please refer to the [Policy and program guide](#).

**REVIEW AND DECISION MAKING**

**Assessment criteria**

The CFI merit review process will be used to evaluate proposals on the basis of the following six assessment criteria that reflect the competition objectives, described under the Challenge section.

Proposals should clearly present the merits and excellence of the proposed project and provide sufficient information to enable reviewers to evaluate the proposal in accordance with the following six criteria:
- **Institutional track record and commitment**: The proposal builds on existing capacity and key investments in people and infrastructure. Through tangible commitments, the institution supports the area of the proposal in order to maintain or gain a competitive advantage internationally.

- **Research or technology development**: The proposed research or technology development activities enabled by the research infrastructure are timely, innovative and at the leading edge internationally. The activities have the potential to lead to breakthroughs and will enhance the international competitiveness of the institution and its researchers.

- **Team**: The principal users of the infrastructure are established or emerging leaders in the relevant research or technology development domains. The team has the necessary expertise, ability and relevant collaborations and partnerships in place to successfully conduct the research or technology development activities.

- **Infrastructure**: The infrastructure is necessary and appropriate to conduct the research or technology development activities. The use of the infrastructure will be maximized within and among institutions and sectors (private, public and non-profit).

- **Sustainability of the research infrastructure**: The proposal presents a compelling plan for sustainability with tangible and appropriate commitments. The proposed infrastructure will be effectively managed, operated and maintained over its useful life.

- **Benefits to Canadians**: The research or technology development activities are likely to lead to significant tangible benefits for society, health, the economy and/or the environment. Where appropriate, effective pathways will be developed to transfer the results and outputs of the research or technology development to potential end-users in a timely manner.

**Review process**

The CFI will first ensure that proposals are eligible and complete. The assessment of each proposal will include three stages, as outlined below. Its extent will be tailored to the nature and complexity of the proposal.

**Expert committees**

The first stage of review, the expert review process, is designed to assess the strengths and weaknesses of the proposals in relation to the six assessment criteria. Wherever possible, expert committees will review small groups of similar or related proposals.

Large and complex proposals, typically requesting $6 million or more from the CFI, may involve face-to-face meetings of expert committees and representatives of the lead institution. If such a meeting is deemed necessary, the institution will be advised in July 2014. For those proposals, the CFI expects a more detailed governance and management plan commensurate with the level of complexity of the proposed facility. The CFI may also decide to include large-facility management expertise on its expert committees.

**Multidisciplinary Assessment Committees**

The second stage of review involves the assessment of proposals by Multidisciplinary Assessment Committees (MACs). The MACs will review proposals grouped with others of similar size and/or complexity. Following a careful analysis of the results of the expert review, the MACs will be responsible for assessing each proposal against the three competition objectives relative to other competing requests. They are tasked with identifying proposals that best meet the standards of excellence for the competition and with establishing the amount that should be awarded to the proposals.

MAC members are chosen for their capacity to assess proposals based on the competition objectives, and for their breadth of understanding of the research environment, the niches of innovative excellence in eligible institutions and the breadth of impacts and outcomes from research investments across the entire landscape of research activity. The MACs that review large-scale proposals will also include expertise in the management of large research facilities.
To assist in the next stage of review, the MACs will also be asked to identify a subset of those proposals that are of exceptional merit. Since the MACs are instructed to be extremely selective in the proposals they deem exceptional, each MAC is limited to choosing only a few proposals in this category.

**Special Multidisciplinary Assessment Committee**

The third stage of review involves a review and integration of the MAC assessments by a Special Multidisciplinary Assessment Committee (S-MAC). The S-MAC is charged with ensuring consistency among the MACs, and in instances where MAC recommendations exceed the available resources, the S-MAC recommends to the CFI Board of Directors the proposals that most effectively support the CFI’s mandate, meet the objectives of the competition and represent the most effective portfolio of investments for Canada.

**Collaboration with provinces**

To coordinate the review processes and avoid duplication of review efforts, the CFI will provide expert committee reports, along with the names and affiliations of committee members, to relevant provincial and territorial funding authorities. Disclosure of committee reports will be made only in accordance with agreements between the CFI and provincial or territorial authorities, as permissible pursuant to the Privacy Act.

In addition, representatives of the relevant provincial or territorial authorities will be invited to participate as observers at the expert review stage and will have the opportunity to submit their respective views on proposals for consideration by the S-MAC.

The CFI encourages institutions to work with relevant provincial and territorial funding authorities as key partners at an early stage in the planning and development of proposals.

**Funding decisions**

All funding decisions will be made by the CFI Board of Directors at its March 2015 meeting. Following this meeting, the applicant institutions will receive the review materials for their proposals.

**HOW TO APPLY**

Researchers and institutions must use the CFI Awards Management System to prepare and submit the Notice of Intent (NOI) as well as the proposals.

**Notice of Intent**

This competition requires the electronic submission of a Notice of Intent (NOI) in advance of the submission of a full proposal. In addition, the CFI requires each institution to submit a list of all NOIs in which the institution is the lead or a collaborator on a shared or multi-institutional project. A template cover letter will be provided by the CFI in the fall of 2013.

The NOIs will be used to recruit experts and committee members. Therefore, the NOI should contain accurate information about the infrastructure and its users, the proposed research or technology development and the expected outcomes. A list of the NOIs received will be published on the CFI’s website to encourage institutions that have similar proposals to consider potential collaborations or joint initiatives, where appropriate, to ensure the effectiveness and efficiency of the infrastructure. To further this objective, the CFI may draw attention to possible overlaps and synergies between institutions.

The submission deadline for the NOI is **March 28, 2014**.
Proposal
The submission deadline for proposals is June 27, 2014. The CFI will require an updated list of all proposals in which the institution is the lead or a collaborator on a shared or multi-institutional project.

PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT

The CFI makes national funding announcements related to infrastructure associated with its Innovation Fund. In most cases, these announcements are organized in collaboration with an institution. Public announcements provide institutions, their researchers and partners, along with government representatives, the media and the CFI, opportunities to highlight the research and technology development in their communities. Following each national CFI funding announcement, institutions are encouraged to work with local and national media to promote the benefits and impacts of this research and technology development to Canadians.
## Appendix 1

### Envelopes by institution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Envelope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Toronto (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$105,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of British Columbia (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$72,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGill University (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$65,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Université de Montréal (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$43,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Alberta (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$43,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Université Laval (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$32,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ottawa (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$30,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMaster University (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$29,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Calgary (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$28,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western University (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$26,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Waterloo</td>
<td>$21,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen's University (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$19,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalhousie University (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$17,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Manitoba (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$17,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Fraser University</td>
<td>$16,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Université de Sherbrooke (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$15,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Guelph</td>
<td>$12,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Victoria</td>
<td>$11,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Saskatchewan (and affiliated hospitals)</td>
<td>$11,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York University</td>
<td>$9,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>École Polytechnique de Montréal</td>
<td>$8,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordia University</td>
<td>$7,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carleton University</td>
<td>$7,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorial University of Newfoundland (and affiliated hospital)</td>
<td>$7,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Université du Québec à Montréal</td>
<td>$6,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut national de la recherche scientifique</td>
<td>$5,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of New Brunswick</td>
<td>$5,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryerson University</td>
<td>$4,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Windsor</td>
<td>$4,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Regina</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Lethbridge</td>
<td>$2,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>École de technologie supérieure</td>
<td>$2,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brock University</td>
<td>$2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilfrid Laurier University</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakehead University</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trent University</td>
<td>$1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières</td>
<td>$1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Université du Québec à Rimouski</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurentian University</td>
<td>$1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ontario Institute of Technology</td>
<td>$1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Université du Québec à Chicoutimi</td>
<td>$1,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Northern British Columbia</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Mary's University</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other CFI-eligible institutions</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>